

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/970,074	JENSEN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Alonzo Chambliss	2827	

**All Participants:**

(1) Alonzo Chambliss.

(2) David R. Fairbairn.

**Date of Interview:** 16 July 2003

**Type of Interview:**  
☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
N/A

Claims discussed:  
N/A

Prior art documents discussed:  
N/A

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


**Status of Application:** Notice of abandonment is forthcoming.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** 4:10PM

  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: the examiner spoke with Mr. Fairbairn about a response to a restriction requirement mailed on 3/17/03. The attorney informed the examiner that he never received the restriction requirement but would like to elect the product with traverse over the phone. The attorney filed a letter of inquiry on 7/10/03, which was before the date the examiner had a conversation with the attorney but was not scanned into the records of this application until after 7/16/03. However, upon further searching after the conversation with the attorney the examiner records reveal documentation showing that a restriction requirement was mailed for this case on 3/17/03. Therefore, a notice of abandonment is forthcoming.